Case 4:20-cv-00957-SDJ Document 879-3 Filed 07/14/25 Page 1 of 15 PageID #: 61904

# **EXHIBIT C**

### 

### INSTRUCTIONS TO JURORS

The attached questionnaire must be answered by you. The answers will assist the judge and lawyers in selecting a jury. Answer all the questions to the best of your ability. There are no right or wrong answers. To answer correctly you must answer honestly.

We need your help so that we can select a fair and impartial jury in this case. Only the judge and lawyers will know your name. Do not assume anything from these questions. The fact they are being asked does not necessarily have anything to do with the evidence that you will hear.

The judge and lawyers know that every person has beliefs and prejudices concerning many things. You should answer with your true feelings, whatever they may be. Do not assume that any of your answers will qualify you or disqualify you from serving on this jury.

Please fully answer each question to the best of your ability. Write or print clearly. You must not ask anyone for help. Do the best you can.

If you cannot answer a question because you do not understand it, write "Do Not Understand" in the margin next to the question. If you cannot answer because you do not know, write "Do Not Know" in the margin next to the question. If you want to explain your answer do so briefly in the margin next to the question. If for any reason you do not want to answer any of the questions asked, please write the word "PRIVATE" next to the question and we will take this matter up with you privately.

We are all certain that you understand the importance of juries to our American system of justice. We are confident that you will not try to avoid your duty as a citizen to serve if it is at all possible to do so. Without your help our courts cannot operate properly under the Constitution.

An Explanation Sheet is provided for you at the end of the questionnaire to answer questions more fully. Rather than leaving out information, please turn to the end and put your answers on the explanation sheet provided.

Because your answers are part of the jury selection process and become part of the records of this court, your answers must be truthful and under penalty of perjury.

Casaste 2000Av 00 00000255 DJD o Clomentet 12479-3File of ident 0047 0004 025 in 174866 3 of a difference of 1906

JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE

Juror #:

Full Name:	Gender:	: □ Male □ Female	Age:
What is your race?			
City of Residence:	Years There:	Birth Place:	
What is your zip code?			
Who is your employer and what	is your job (if unemployed/retir		
For how many years have/did yo	ou worked there?		
Describe your job duties or response	onsibilities:		
What jobs have you held in the p	past?	· ·	
Have you ever been laid off from If YES, how long ago?	•		
Have you or your immediate			
□ YES □ NO			
If YES, please explain:			
Have you ever been responsible	for hiring, firing, or supervising	ı employees? ☐ YES	NO NO
How far did you go in school [if o	college or post-college, name th	e school(s) and any de	egrees received]?
Current marital status:			
Spouse's Name:			
Who is your spouse/partner's en	nployer and what is this person ))?		•

Casas4e 2100a	#000000025DJDoctomente11212479-3File@filerd004700940275in TP/38612 4 07 alger Page 112 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
What jobs has	s this person held in the past?
Please list the	e sex, age, and occupation for each of your children and step-children:
List all govern	nments and government agencies (do not include law enforcement or military) that you and
your relatives	have worked for and tell us who held this job, what governmental unit, and the type of work:
Have you, an enforcement	ry family members, or friends ever been employed by or applied for a job with any law agencv?      □ YES □ NO
If YES:	
	your family member, or friend hired?
	lid you, your family member, or friend apply for and/or have?
c) When did y	you, your family member, or friend apply for and/or have this job?
d) Do you, yo	our family member, or friend still hold this job today? ☐ YES ☐ NO
If NO, when a	nd how did that employment end?
Have you or h	as anyone close to you ever had a negative experience dealing with law enforcement officers
at the local or	r federal level (such as the police, FBI, INS, IRS, etc)? ☐ YES ☐ NO
If YES, please	e describe:
If YES, what i	s your opinion toward that agency now?
Have you or	has anyone close to you ever had a negative experience dealing with the United States
government o	or any governmental agency? □ YES □ NO
If YES, please	e describe:
If YES, what i	s your opinion toward that institution or agency now?
Have you or a	any family members ever owned your (their) own business?   ☐ YES ☐ NO

If YES,	20004/000000725DJDo@mentetr218479-3File@file@d@470094025in TP4866 5 off algePlage#124#mbe 61908 please tell us what type of business and is the business still open?
Have you	ou ever worked for a large corporation? □ YES □ NO
a) Wha	t corporation(s) did you work for and when?
b) Are	(were) you satisfied with your work at the corporation? ☐ YES ☐ NO
	e you ever had a bad experience with a large corporation?   ☐ YES ☐ NO  please explain.
What a	are your opinions about the compensation that executives of large corporations rece
manage enforce	ou had any education, training or experience in: accounting, bookkeeping, business, businement, finance, corporate finance, investment banking, law (criminal and/or civil), ethics ement, marketing, or stock trading?   □ YES □ NO  please describe your education training or experience:
-	
	know anyone who works for the FBI, the United States Attorney's Office, the United St
-	know anyone who works for the FBI, the United States Attorney's Office, the United States of Justice, or in any District Attorney's Office?   Whom do you know and what is the nature of your relationship with that person?
If YES,	ment of Justice, or in any District Attorney's Office? ☐ YES ☐ NO
If YES,  Have you  United	ment of Justice, or in any District Attorney's Office? ☐ YES ☐ NO whom do you know and what is the nature of your relationship with that person?

Cas a) F	ast: 2004 എ
b) I	How do you know this person (these people)?
c) V	What is the nature of your relationship with this person (these people)?
Hav	e you ever sued or been sued? □ YES □ NO
	ES, please tell us if you were the plaintiff (the party suing) or the defendant (the party being sued), the e of case, and the outcome:
	e you served as a juror for a:
	☐ Criminal case times ☐ Civil case times ☐ Grand Jury times ☐ Never served  What type(s) of criminal case(s)?
	Vhat was the verdict(s)?
	Vere you ever the foreperson? ☐ YES ☐ NO
-	What did you like or dislike about serving on a jury?
YES	e you ever filed a criminal complaint or appeared as a witness in any criminal case? $\square$ YES $\square$ NO In Secution or for the defense).
	e you, any family members, or friends ever worked for the Arthur Andersen accounting firm?
lf YE	ES:
a) V	Vho worked for Arthur Andersen?
b) \	When and where did they work for Arthur Andersen?
c) [	Describe the nature of their work for Arthur Andersen.
	e you, any family members, or friends ever worked for or applied for work with Enron Corporation or Enron subsidiaries or partnerships? □ YES □ NO

## $\textbf{CaSasAc 2009Av col 0393072-5} \ \textbf{DJDo Clumentel 12479-3-} ile \textbf{ filter d 034/034025} in \ \textbf{TP/asje} \ 7 \ \textbf{ of alger Cage 1124}, \\ \textbf{ distributions}$

	Who worked for or applied for work with Enron or its subsidiaries?
b)	Were you or any family members or friends hired by Enron or its subsidiaries?
c)	When did you or any family members or friends hold/apply for this job?
d)	When and how did this employment terminate?
	ve you, any family members, or friends ever done business with Enron Corporation or any Enron bsidiaries or partnerships?
lf	YES, please describe the nature of that business, when it occurred, and who was involved
su If	tive you, any family members, or friends ever owned stock in Enron Corporation or any Enron bsidiaries or partnerships?   YES:  Approximately how many shares did you, your family member, or friend own?  When did you, your family member, or friend purchase the shares?
•	Did you, your family member, or friend ever sell the shares? If so, please identify when you sold, for nat reason, and whether you made or lost money on the sale.

E	Enron bankruptcy or the layoffs of Enron employees? □ YES □ NO
I1	f YES, please explain
_	
	Do you know anyone, whom you have not mentioned above, who has been negatively affected or any way by what happened at Enron? □ YES □ NO
	If YES, please tell us the name of the person and how they were affected.
-	
	Do you have an opinion about the cause of the collapse of Enron? ☐ YES ☐ NO
-	a) If YES, what is your opinion?
_	•
k	b) On what do you base your opinion?
-	
١	What do you think about the U.S. Government's investigation into the collapse of Enron?
-	
1	Have you heard or read about any of the Enron cases? ☐ YES ☐ NO
	If YES, please tell us the name of all sources from which you have heard or read about the Enron

	king with friends, fa	61912 mily or co-workers, in	nternet news sourc	es, blogs, etc.)?
			1	
Have you read	any books or seen a	any movies about Enr	on? 🗆 YES 🗆 N	0
If YES, please	describe the book(s	) or movie(s) and tell ι	ıs what you though	it of that book or mo
, , ,		,	, a	
Have you your	r business, anv fami	ly member, or your en	nplover ever filed f	or bankruptev?
		us who filed and what		
_ 1L3 _ 1NO	ii 123, piease teii t	us who med and what	паррепец.	
Who makes the	e financial decisions	in your household?		
Do you current	lly, or have you ever	, owned stocks (inclu	ding mutual funds)	? □ YES □ NO
Do you current	lly, or have you ever		ding mutual funds)	? □ YES □ NO
Do you current Have you or yo	lly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sigr	, owned stocks (inclu	ding mutual funds) ney in the stock mar	? □ YES □ NO ket in the past ten (10
Do you current Have you or yo	lly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sigr	, owned stocks (includ	ding mutual funds) ney in the stock mar	? □ YES □ NO ket in the past ten (10
Do you current Have you or yo	lly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sigr	, owned stocks (includ	ding mutual funds) ney in the stock mar	? □ YES □ NO ket in the past ten (10
Do you current Have you or yo □ YES □ NO	tly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sigr O If YES, please exp	, owned stocks (includ	ding mutual funds) ey in the stock mar	? ☐ YES ☐ NO ket in the past ten (10
Do you current Have you or yo □ YES □ NO	tly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sigr O If YES, please exp	, owned stocks (includ nificant amount of mon plain what happened.	ding mutual funds) ey in the stock mar	? ☐ YES ☐ NO ket in the past ten (10
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO	ely, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign of YES, please exp	, owned stocks (including including	ding mutual funds) ney in the stock man	? □ YES □ NO ket in the past ten (10 t apply:
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO  Which of the fo	elly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign O If YES, please exp ollowing words woul	, owned stocks (includation), owned stocks (includation), or monor of mono	ding mutual funds) ney in the stock man se check (✓) all tha	? ☐ YES ☐ NO  ket in the past ten (10  t apply: ☐ Compulsive
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO  Which of the fo	tly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign O If YES, please exp ollowing words woul	d describe you? Pleas  □ Business-minded □ Detailed	ding mutual funds) ney in the stock man se check (✓) all tha □ Cautious □ Dedicated	? □ YES □ NO  ket in the past ten (10  t apply: □ Compulsive □ Entrepreneur
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO  Which of the fo Assertive Creative Impulsive	elly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign of the test of the te	d describe you? Pleas  Business-minded  Dudgmental	ding mutual funds) ney in the stock man se check (✓) all tha □ Cautious □ Dedicated □ Law & Order	? ☐ YES ☐ NO  ket in the past ten (10  t apply:     ☐ Compulsive     ☐ Entrepreneur     ☐ Leader     ☐ Practical
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO  Which of the fo  Assertive Creative Impulsive Logical	elly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign of the si	d describe you? Pleas Business-minded Detailed Updated Detailed Detailed Dopinionated	ding mutual funds) bey in the stock man se check (✓) all tha Gautious Guedicated Guedicated Guedicated Guedicated Guedicated	? ☐ YES ☐ NO  ket in the past ten (16  t apply:     ☐ Compulsive     ☐ Entrepreneur     ☐ Leader     ☐ Practical
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO  Which of the fo  Assertive Creative Impulsive Logical Private	elly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign of the si	d describe you? Pleas Business-minded Detailed Updated Pro-business	ding mutual funds) bey in the stock man se check (✓) all tha Cautious Dedicated Law & Order Outspoken Pro-worker	?
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO  Which of the fo Assertive Creative Impulsive Logical Private Religious Thoughtful	elly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign of the sign of the second o	d describe you? Pleas Business-minded Detailed Dopinionated Pro-business Snap-decisions	ding mutual funds) bey in the stock man se check (✓) all tha Cautious Dedicated Law & Order Outspoken Pro-worker	?
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO  Which of the fo Assertive Creative Impulsive Logical Private Religious Thoughtful Please list (3) p	elly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign of the si	d describe you? Pleas Business-minded Detailed Dopinionated Pro-business Snap-decisions Visual ire the most:	ding mutual funds) bey in the stock man see check (✓) all tha Cautious Dedicated Law & Order Outspoken Pro-worker Strict Other:	?
Do you current Have you or yo  YES NO  Which of the form Assertive Creative Impulsive Cogical Private Religious Thoughtful Please list (3) p	elly, or have you ever ur spouse lost a sign of the si	d describe you? Pleas Business-minded Detailed Dopinionated Pro-business Snap-decisions	ding mutual funds) tey in the stock man se check (✓) all tha Cautious Dedicated Law & Order Outspoken Pro-worker Strict Other:	? ☐ YES ☐ NO  ket in the past ten (10  t apply: ☐ Compulsive ☐ Entrepreneur ☐ Leader ☐ Practical ☐ Pro-Governmer

Ca <b>Sæse20:04</b> -	00900250J Dolooneme112849-3File6led 04/09/03 in Px60010 Page 9age 10 #: 61913
(1)	
(2)	
(3)	
Vhat do you e	njoy doing in your spare time?
Please list you	ır (3) favorite television shows:
(1)	
(3)	
What courtroo	m or law-related television shows do you watch?
What sites on t	the Internet do you regularly visit?
What newspap	pers, magazines, or trade publications do you read?
Economist, Fo	al newspapers or magazines (such as the <i>Wall Street Journal</i> , <i>Business Week, Barrons rbes, Fortune, Money Magazine</i> , etc.), if any, do you read, and what financial programs, it atch on television?
Which o <i>n</i> e sou	urce do you get most of your news from?
	nions or civic, social, political, professional, and religious groups or organizations to which
Have you held	leadership roles in any of these organizations? ☐ YES ☐ NO
If YES, what w	as the role?
	about any volunteer work you do (now or past):
On social issue	es, are you: □ Very conservative □ Conservative □ Moderate □ Liberal □ Very liberal
	ssues are you: □ Very conservative □ Conservative □ Moderate □ Liberal □ Very liberal
	preference: □ Democrat □ Independent □ Republican □ Other:
	affic ticket, have <u>you</u> ever been arrested for, charged with, or convicted of a crime?

If YES: a) Describe the nature of the charge. b) Did this charge result in a conviction? c) If so, when did the conviction occur, and was it the result of a trial verdict or guilty plea? d) Do you feel you were treated fairly? 64. Other than a traffic ticket, have any of your family members ever been arrested for, charged with, or ☐ YES ☐ NO convicted of a crime? If YES: a) What family member? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ b) Describe the nature of the charge. c) Did this charge result in a conviction? d) If so, when did the conviction occur, and was it the result of a trial verdict or guilty plea? \_\_\_\_\_\_ e) Do you feel your family member was treated fairly? \_\_\_\_\_\_ 65. Which best describes your total yearly household income? □ Under \$25,000 □ \$25 - \$50,000 □ \$50 - \$100,000 □ \$100 - \$250,000 □ More than \$250,000 66. Religious Preference: 67. Are you angry about what happened with Enron? ☐ YES ☐ NO If YES, please explain. Of all the things you have seen, heard, or read about Enron, what stands out in your mind? \_\_\_\_\_\_ 68. Do you, any family member, or friend know or have any connection with any of the defendants or their 69. families in this case? a) Kenneth Lay ☐ YES ☐ NO b) Jeffrey Skilling ☐ YES ☐ NO c) Richard Causey ☐ YES ☐ NO If you have answered YES as to any of the defendants, please explain.

_	
	you have an opinion about any of the following people?
a)	Kenneth Lay (former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Enron) ☐ YES ☐ NO  If YES, what is your opinion?
	On what do you base your opinion?
	On what do you base your opinion:
b)	Jeffrey Skilling (former Chief Operating Officer, President, Chief Executive Officer of Enron)
	□ YES □ NO
	If YES, what is your opinion?
	On what do you base your opinion?
	···
c)	Richard Causey (former Chief Accounting Officer of Enron) ☐ YES ☐ NO
	If YES, what is your opinion?
	On what do you base your opinion?
d)	Sherron Watkins (former employee of Enron) ☐ YES ☐ NO
,	If YES, what is your opinion?
	On what do you base your opinion?

If you have answered YES as to any of these people, please explain.  The Judge in this case will instruct you that the indictment or formal charge against a defendant is evidence of guilt. Indeed, the defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all, and no inference whate may be drawn from the election of a defendant not to testify. The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence defendent Lay    YES   NO   UNSURE	b) Jeffrey Skilling	☐ YES ☐ NO
The Judge in this case will instruct you that the indictment or formal charge against a defendant is evidence of guilt. Indeed, the defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all, and no inference whate may be drawn from the election of a defendant not to testify. The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evid presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE    b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE    c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE    If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	c) Kenneth Lay	☐ YES ☐ NO
evidence of guilt. Indeed, the defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all, and no inference whate may be drawn from the election of a defendant not to testify. The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evid presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE    b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE    c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE    If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	If you have answered YES	s to any of these people, please explain.
evidence of guilt. Indeed, the defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all, and no inference whate may be drawn from the election of a defendant not to testify. The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evid presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE    b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE    If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.		
require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all, and no inference whate may be drawn from the election of a defendant not to testify. The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilfy beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evid presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE    b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE    c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE    If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	The Judge in this case will	instruct you that the indictment or formal charge against a defendant is n
may be drawn from the election of a defendant not to testify. The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE    b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE    c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE    diff YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	evidence of guilt. Indeed, t	ne defendant is presumed by the law to be innocent. The law does not
proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence of the presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE    b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE    c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE    If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	require a defendant to prov	his innocence or produce any evidence at all, and no inference whateve
defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence of the presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE  b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE  c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE  If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	may be drawn from the elec	tion of a defendant not to testify. The government has the burden of
the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evid presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE  b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE  c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE  If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	proving the defendant guilt	beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the
proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE    b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE    c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE    If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	defendant. While the gover	nment's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary the
doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence of the presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay	the defendant's guilt be pro	ved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's
evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay   YES   NO   UNSURE  b) Jeffrey Skilling   YES   NO   UNSURE  c) Richard Causey   YES   NO   UNSURE  If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	proof exclude any "reasona	ble doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt. A "reasonable doubt" is a
character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay	doubt based upon reason a	nd common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the
your own affairs.  Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay	evidence in the case. Proo	beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing
Notwithstanding the instructions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay	character that you would be	willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important of
formed regarding Enron or any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the evidence presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay	your own affairs.	
presented during the trial of these three defendants?  a) Kenneth Lay	Notwithstanding the instruc	tions that the judge will give you at the trial, would any opinion you may ha
a) Kenneth Lay	formed regarding Enron or	any of the defendants prevent you from impartially considering the eviden
b) Jeffrey Skilling	presented during the trial o	these three defendants?
c) Richard Causey	a) Kenneth Lay	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNSURE
If YES or UNSURE as to any of these people, please explain.	b) Jeffrey Skilling	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNSURE
	c) Richard Causey	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ UNSURE
Is there anything else you feel is important for the court to know about you?	If YES or UNSURE as to any	of these people, please explain.
Is there anything else you feel is important for the court to know about you?		
Is there anything else you feel is important for the court to know about you?		
Is there anything else you feel is important for the court to know about you?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Is there anything else you f	eel is important for the court to know about you?
	io moro any aning clob you .	

(	CaSes 4: 2004 v 00 00 00 00 25 DJD o 00 on o entet 12 1247 9 - 3File 4Filoed 00 047 00 047 02 25 in 17 25 06 14 Rod (d. 5. 17 35 of 17 17 18 of 17
	61917 Would you like to serve on this jury? □ YES □ NO □ DON'T KNOW Please explain your answer.
	ricase explain your answer.
	De very have a physical or other disability that would be an you from coming as a jurge?
	Do you have a physical or other disability that would keep you from serving as a juror? □ YES □ NO If YES, please explain
	El Teo El No II Teo, piedoc oxpiaini.
	This trial will begin on January 17, 2006, and may last as long as 6 months. You may rely upon the ju
	being in session Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am until 5:00 pm. The court will not be in session
	during federal holidays. A trial of this length is a hardship on virtually every juror. Would serving as a jur
	in this case cause such a severe hardship that it would affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juro
	□ YES □ NO
	If YES, please explain.
	<u>OATH</u>
	 I hereby swear or affirm that all of my answers in this questionnaire are true and correct to the best of m
	Juror's Signature Dat
	Juloi s Signature Dat

CaSas4e 2009Av 00 00 00 00 Domente 12 1247 9 - 3File of ideal 00 47 00 94 02 5 in 17/28 jp. 15 Raigles 124 green Number 61918

### **EXPLANATION SHEET**